

# WEEKLY NEWS SERVICE

Entered as second-class matter September 28, 1912, at the Post Office at Washington, D. C., under the Act of August 14, 1912. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Sec. 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized July 11, 1913.

WHOLE NO. 1003.

WILLIAM GREEN, President

WASHINGTON, D. C. SATURDAY, JUNE 28, 1930

FRANK MORRISON, Secretary

VOL. 20, NO. 16.

## EIGHT INJUNCTIONS ARE BASIS OF WRITINGS TO LABOR

The majority of the Senate Judiciary Committee opposes the pending labor injunction relief bill.

One of its reasons is that there are "few" Federal injunctions and that most of these writs issue out of State courts.

This adding-machine argument is illogical. It is the favorite plea of attorneys for employers' associations who ignore principle that may be outraged by one decision.

The Dartmouth College case, in 1819, illustrates this point. Here was a dispute between the president and trustees of a small educational institution in New Hampshire which finally reached the United States Supreme Court.

The decision has become historic and is now used by public utility corporations to hold perpetual franchises.

No decision has been so effective to

thwart popular control of these corporations.

Eight particular injunction decisions by the United States Supreme Court in the last 35 years may be of no interest to the Senate Judiciary Committee, but these court orders have stripped organized labor of every constitutional right and has made it possible for the judiciary to smash any strike they elect.

A purely local strike may now be thrown into a Federal court on the plea of one shareholder residing in another State. This was done in the recent New Orleans street car strike when Federal Judge Borah placed Federal deputy marshals on the cars at the request of a New York banking house.

The first of the eight decisions was the Debs case, in which the Supreme Court held that an injunction judge can issue constitutional guarantees to citizens who suspend work.

The Debs case was the first time the Supreme Court held that Federal courts can issue such orders.

In the Bucks Stove and Range case the Supreme Court outlawed freedom of speech and press when profits are interfered with. The court took the same position in the Danbury Hatters case.

In the Tri-Cities case the court again denied free speech and ruled that picketing (permitted under the Clayton law) is unlawful unless directed by the court.

In the Truax case the court held that patronage is property and workers can be enjoined as conspirators if they induce others to withhold their patronage. The same position was taken in the Duplex case.

In the Coronado case the court held that trade unions can be used for individual acts of members. No other vol-

untary association is held liable for unauthorized acts of its members.

In the Bedford case the court ruled that union stone cutters can not refuse to handle non-union stone. They must work against their will, as refusal is "an interference with interstate commerce."

When the majority of the Senate Judiciary Committee says "few" Federal injunctions have been issued, they fail to point out that these "few" are the starting point by which every right is taken from organized labor. Under these decisions the Constitution means nothing when employers would smash strikes and drive citizens back to obnoxious dictatorial contracts.

It seems incredible that ten skilled lawyers in the United States Senate are unaware of this fact and attempt to minimize the unlimited effect of these "few" injunctions.

## EMPLOYERS HOSTILE TO UNION; URGE SPECIAL FREIGHT RATES

Birmingham, Ala., June 28.—Stove manufacturers in this area oppose the bill which asks the Interstate Commerce Commission to maintain freight rates to the north that will give them an advantage over manufacturers who recognize the union and pay higher wages.

Efforts to organize stove molders in this industry are being resisted. In one case the employer refused to meet a Federal conciliator.

Despite opposition iron molders throughout Alabama are joining the union. They are determined to equalize rates and place them on a parity with Northern rates.

The A. F. of L. Southern organizing campaign committee reports that the domination of colored workers in making paid strikes. Negroes are anxious to join the trade union movement, although colored employees in certain industries are being kept out of the union. Charges of "subsidy" made.

A local organizing committee is receiving reports to launch labor championships similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union. Similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union.

The A. F. of L. Southern organizing campaign committee reports that the domination of colored workers in making paid strikes. Negroes are anxious to join the trade union movement, although colored employees in certain industries are being kept out of the union. Charges of "subsidy" made.

A local organizing committee is receiving reports to launch labor championships similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union. Similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union.

The A. F. of L. Southern organizing campaign committee reports that the domination of colored workers in making paid strikes. Negroes are anxious to join the trade union movement, although colored employees in certain industries are being kept out of the union. Charges of "subsidy" made.

A local organizing committee is receiving reports to launch labor championships similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union. Similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union.

The A. F. of L. Southern organizing campaign committee reports that the domination of colored workers in making paid strikes. Negroes are anxious to join the trade union movement, although colored employees in certain industries are being kept out of the union. Charges of "subsidy" made.

A local organizing committee is receiving reports to launch labor championships similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union. Similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union.

The A. F. of L. Southern organizing campaign committee reports that the domination of colored workers in making paid strikes. Negroes are anxious to join the trade union movement, although colored employees in certain industries are being kept out of the union. Charges of "subsidy" made.

A local organizing committee is receiving reports to launch labor championships similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union. Similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union.

The A. F. of L. Southern organizing campaign committee reports that the domination of colored workers in making paid strikes. Negroes are anxious to join the trade union movement, although colored employees in certain industries are being kept out of the union. Charges of "subsidy" made.

A local organizing committee is receiving reports to launch labor championships similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union. Similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union.

The A. F. of L. Southern organizing campaign committee reports that the domination of colored workers in making paid strikes. Negroes are anxious to join the trade union movement, although colored employees in certain industries are being kept out of the union. Charges of "subsidy" made.

A local organizing committee is receiving reports to launch labor championships similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union. Similar to the average Southern workers' and employers' union.

The A. F. of L. Southern organizing campaign committee reports that the domination of colored workers in making paid strikes. Negroes are anxious to join the trade union movement, although colored employees in certain industries are being kept out of the union. Charges of "subsidy" made.

## CONGRESS HAS DODGED OUT-OF-WORK PROBLEM

Wm. Green, President, A. F. of L.

Washington, June 28.—"The present Congress is a failure in constructively dealing with the problem of unemployment," declared Wm. Green, president A. F. of L., after learning that the House Judiciary Committee referred Senate Wagner's employment agency bill to a subcommittee and had emasculated the bill providing for long range planning of public works.

"It is amazing," said Mr. Green, "that Congress, meeting at a time when the Nation is suffering from the effects of a long period of unemployment, would fail to do something constructive which, in effect, would be a partial remedy for the distressing situation."

"Congress is not even making a legislative gesture toward the problem of unemployment," said Mr. Green. "If the Wagner bill, as it now appears, they will fail, no single piece of legislation can be considered and acted upon by the Congress to solve the problem of unemployment."

This is especially tragic when we consider that Congress has been in session for almost one year during a period of unemployment which has been serious in its effect and widespread in its application."

"Congress is not even making a legislative gesture toward the problem of unemployment," said Mr. Green. "If the Wagner bill, as it now appears, they will fail, no single piece of legislation can be considered and acted upon by the Congress to solve the problem of unemployment."

This is especially tragic when we consider that Congress has been in session for almost one year during a period of unemployment which has been serious in its effect and widespread in its application."

"Congress is not even making a legislative gesture toward the problem of unemployment," said Mr. Green. "If the Wagner bill, as it now appears, they will fail, no single piece of legislation can be considered and acted upon by the Congress to solve the problem of unemployment."

This is especially tragic when we consider that Congress has been in session for almost one year during a period of unemployment which has been serious in its effect and widespread in its application."

"Congress is not even making a legislative gesture toward the problem of unemployment," said Mr. Green. "If the Wagner bill, as it now appears, they will fail, no single piece of legislation can be considered and acted upon by the Congress to solve the problem of unemployment."

This is especially tragic when we consider that Congress has been in session for almost one year during a period of unemployment which has been serious in its effect and widespread in its application."

"Congress is not even making a legislative gesture toward the problem of unemployment," said Mr. Green. "If the Wagner bill, as it now appears, they will fail, no single piece of legislation can be considered and acted upon by the Congress to solve the problem of unemployment."

This is especially tragic when we consider that Congress has been in session for almost one year during a period of unemployment which has been serious in its effect and widespread in its application."

"Congress is not even making a legislative gesture toward the problem of unemployment," said Mr. Green. "If the Wagner bill, as it now appears, they will fail, no single piece of legislation can be considered and acted upon by the Congress to solve the problem of unemployment."

This is especially tragic when we consider that Congress has been in session for almost one year during a period of unemployment which has been serious in its effect and widespread in its application."

"Congress is not even making a legislative gesture toward the problem of unemployment," said Mr. Green. "If the Wagner bill, as it now appears, they will fail, no single piece of legislation can be considered and acted upon by the Congress to solve the problem of unemployment."

This is especially tragic when we consider that Congress has been in session for almost one year during a period of unemployment which has been serious in its effect and widespread in its application."

## LABOR PLANS RUINED DECLARED WAGNER

Washington, June 28.—"Pettish politics" is responsible for defeat in the House of three unemployment bills, said Senator Wagner, author of the measures that were approved by the Senate.

The bills provide for long-range planning of public works, completion of unemployment data by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics and establishment of a national system of employment agencies.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

The last "yellow" bill was introduced by the anti-union National Association of Manufacturers.

## SENATORS ARE MUDDLED

In opposing the injunction relief bill, pending in the Senate, the majority of the Senate Judiciary Committee says "few" Federal injunctions have been issued.

"The doctrine of equality before the law and equal protection of the law ought not to be departed from to the injury of any portion of the people of the Republic."

That is the argument of trade unionists in their fight against injunctions.

"The majority of these Senators talk of 'equality before the law' when they favor injunctions to enforce law."

There is no equality in an injunction court. The purpose of labor injunctions is to destroy that equality.

The injunction court is a creature of the Constitution. He issues any order he elects and then punishes for contempt if such order is violated. He is "law" maker, judge and executioner.

He has classed good will and patronage as "property" and fines or jail any one who interferes with such "property" and the person is doing a lawful act, such as strikers appealing for public opinion.

Free speech, free press, the right of public assembly and opposition to involuntary servitude are constitutional provisions that the equity judges set aside.

These can not be ignored in a law court. That is why employers favor injunction courts.

It is ridiculous to favor equity (injunctions) and at the same time talk of equality before the law. These are contradictions. Government by law is the American theory. One-man government is Fascism.

That is the argument of trade unionists in their fight against injunctions.

"The majority of these Senators talk of 'equality before the law' when they favor injunctions to enforce law."

There is no equality in an injunction court. The purpose of labor injunctions is to destroy that equality.

The injunction court is a creature of the Constitution. He issues any order he elects and then punishes for contempt if such order is violated. He is "law" maker, judge and executioner.

He has classed good will and patronage as "property" and fines or jail any one who interferes with such "property" and the person is doing a lawful act, such as strikers appealing for public opinion.

Free speech, free press, the right of public assembly and opposition to involuntary servitude are constitutional provisions that the equity judges set aside.

These can not be ignored in a law court. That is why employers favor injunction courts.

It is ridiculous to favor equity (injunctions) and at the same time talk of equality before the law. These are contradictions. Government by law is the American theory. One-man government is Fascism.

That is the argument of trade unionists in their fight against injunctions.

"The majority of these Senators talk of 'equality before the law' when they favor injunctions to enforce law."

There is no equality in an injunction court. The purpose of labor injunctions is to destroy that equality.

The injunction court is a creature of the Constitution. He issues any order he elects and then punishes for contempt if such order is violated. He is "law" maker, judge and executioner.

He has classed good will and patronage as "property" and fines or jail any one who interferes with such "property" and the person is doing a lawful act, such as strikers appealing for public opinion.

Free speech, free press, the right of public assembly and opposition to involuntary servitude are constitutional provisions that the equity judges set aside.

These can not be ignored in a law court. That is why employers favor injunction courts.

It is ridiculous to favor equity (injunctions) and at the same time talk of equality before the law. These are contradictions. Government by law is the American theory. One-man government is Fascism.

That is the argument of trade unionists in their fight against injunctions.

"The majority of these Senators talk of 'equality before the law' when they favor injunctions to enforce law."

There is no equality in an injunction court. The purpose of labor injunctions is to destroy that equality.

The injunction court is a creature of the Constitution. He issues any order he elects and then punishes for contempt if such order is violated. He is "law" maker, judge and executioner.

He has classed good will and patronage as "property" and fines or jail any one who interferes with such "property" and the person is doing a lawful act, such as strikers appealing for public opinion.

Free speech, free press, the right of public assembly and opposition to involuntary servitude are constitutional provisions that the equity judges set aside.

## Labor's Injunction Relief Plan Rejected By Senate Committee

Majority Concedes No Part of Labor's Demands—Fight Will Next Congress

Washington, June 28.—The Senate Judiciary Committee has made public its negative report on the labor injunction bill.

The majority report was submitted by Senator Steiwer of Oregon, and is approved by Senators Steiwer, Densen, Gillett, Robinson (Ind.), Waterman, Hastings, Hebert, Overman, King and Stephens.

The minority report, favoring the bill, and submitted by Mr. Norris, chairman of the committee, is also made public. It is approved by Senators Norris, Blaine, Walsh (Mont.), Borah, Caraway, Ashurst, Dill.

The majority report minimizes the effect of the injunction writ in labor disputes and infers that the problem is a labor question, not a legal one. The report contends that no part of labor's claim and insists that even though the present bill, approved, such legislation "can have effect upon the permanent judgments already entered, this means that injunctions like the Bedford court order are not to be touched by legislation."

The "yellow dog" is defended on the ground that it is a contract and is beyond legislative control. The report includes curious contradictions, such as claims for equality before the law and the statement that "there are many situations in which the even-handed justice of the courts is unable to execute its duty as law enforcement."

The committee does not explain how "equality before the law" is possible when equity judges are permitted to set aside guarantees and enforce their edicts.

The injunction issue has been before the people for 40 years, but the committee has never made an "appropriate study" be made of the situation that is "frequently laid out to labor unions," but the proposed bill would "solve" the problem.

The majority members insist that the "yellow dog" is a contract and is beyond legislative control. The report includes curious contradictions, such as claims for equality before the law and the statement that "there are many situations in which the even-handed justice of the courts is unable to execute its duty as law enforcement."

The committee does not explain how "equality before the law" is possible when equity judges are permitted to set aside guarantees and enforce their edicts.

The injunction issue has been before the people for 40 years, but the committee has never made an "appropriate study" be made of the situation that is "frequently laid out to labor unions," but the proposed bill would "solve" the problem.

The majority members insist that the "yellow dog" is a contract and is beyond legislative control. The report includes curious contradictions, such as claims for equality before the law and the statement that "there are many situations in which the even-handed justice of the courts is unable to execute its duty as law enforcement."

The committee does not explain how "equality before the law" is possible when equity judges are permitted to set aside guarantees and enforce their edicts.

The injunction issue has been before the people for 40 years, but the committee has never made an "appropriate study" be made of the situation that is "frequently laid out to labor unions," but the proposed bill would "solve" the problem.

The majority members insist that the "yellow dog" is a contract and is beyond legislative control. The report includes curious contradictions, such as claims for equality before the law and the statement that "there are many situations in which the even-handed justice of the courts is unable to execute its duty as law enforcement."

The committee does not explain how "equality before the law" is possible when equity judges are permitted to set aside guarantees and enforce their edicts.

The injunction issue has been before the people for 40 years, but the committee has never made an "appropriate study" be made of the situation that is "frequently laid out to labor unions," but the proposed bill would "solve" the problem.

The majority members insist that the "yellow dog" is a contract and is beyond legislative control. The report includes curious contradictions, such as claims for equality before the law and the statement that "there are many situations in which the even-handed justice of the courts is unable to execute its duty as law enforcement."

The committee does not explain how "equality before the law" is possible when equity judges are permitted to set aside guarantees and enforce their edicts.

The injunction issue has been before the people for 40 years, but the committee has never made an "appropriate study" be made of the situation that is "frequently laid out to labor unions," but the proposed bill would "solve" the problem.

The majority members insist that the "yellow dog" is a contract and is beyond legislative control. The report includes curious contradictions, such as claims for equality before the law and the statement that "there are many situations in which the even-handed justice of the courts is unable to execute its duty as law enforcement."

The committee does not explain how "equality before the law" is possible when equity judges are permitted to set aside guarantees and enforce their edicts.

The injunction issue has been before the people for 40 years, but the committee has never made an "appropriate study" be made of the situation that is "frequently laid out to labor unions," but the proposed bill would "solve" the problem.

The majority members insist that the "yellow dog" is a contract and is beyond legislative control. The report includes curious contradictions, such as claims for equality before the law and the statement that "there are many situations in which the even-handed justice of the courts is unable to execute its duty as law enforcement."

The committee does not explain how "equality before the law" is possible when equity judges are permitted to set aside guarantees and enforce their edicts.

The injunction issue has been before the people for 40 years, but the committee has never made an "appropriate study" be made of the situation that is "frequently laid out to labor unions," but the proposed bill would "solve" the problem.

The majority members insist that the "yellow dog" is a contract and is beyond legislative control. The report includes curious contradictions, such as claims for equality before the law and the statement that "there are many situations in which the even-handed justice of the courts is unable to execute its duty as law enforcement."

Winds and waves are always on the side of the abject navigators. —LIBRARY

bly, and the Thirteenth Amendment (opposing involuntary servitude).

The minority report declares that existing conditions leave employers of labor powerless unprecedentedly to dictate contracts and conditions of employment.

If a laborer can exercise no control over his conditions of employment, "he is subjected to involuntary servitude," the minority declares.

The "yellow dog" is condemned and the minority outlines this practice is strongly urged.

The minority makes this reference to the increasing power of injunction judges:

"It is amazing to realize that in the last 40 years there has developed in the American courts the practice of writing a special law to fit the individual case by judges in issuing labor injunctions; and that thereupon the judge, who himself wrote the law, has arrogated to himself the power of its violation and to punish the violator without permitting the accused to enjoy a trial by jury."

The minority report declares that existing conditions leave employers of labor powerless unprecedentedly to dictate contracts and conditions of employment.

If a laborer can exercise no control over his conditions of employment, "he is subjected to involuntary servitude," the minority declares.

The "yellow dog" is condemned and the minority outlines this practice is strongly urged.

The minority makes this reference to the increasing power of injunction judges:

"It is amazing to realize that in the last 40 years there has developed in the American courts the practice of writing a special law to fit the individual case by judges in issuing labor injunctions; and that thereupon the judge, who himself wrote the law, has arrogated to himself the power of its violation and to punish the violator without permitting the accused to enjoy a trial by jury."

The minority report declares that existing conditions leave employers of labor powerless unprecedentedly to dictate contracts and conditions of employment.

If a laborer can exercise no control over his conditions of employment, "he is subjected to involuntary servitude," the minority declares.

The "yellow dog" is condemned and the minority outlines this practice is strongly urged.

The minority makes this reference to the increasing power of injunction judges:

"It is amazing to realize that in the last 40 years there has developed in the American courts the practice of writing a special law to fit the individual case by judges in issuing labor injunctions; and that thereupon the judge, who himself wrote the law, has arrogated to himself the power of its violation and to punish the violator without permitting the accused to enjoy a trial by jury."

The minority report declares that existing conditions leave employers of labor powerless unprecedentedly to dictate contracts and conditions of employment.

If a laborer can exercise no control over his conditions of employment, "he is subjected to involuntary servitude," the minority declares.

The "yellow dog" is condemned and the minority outlines this practice is strongly urged.

The minority makes this reference to the increasing power of injunction judges:

"It is amazing to realize that in the last 40 years there has developed in the American courts the practice of writing a special law to fit the individual case by judges in issuing labor injunctions; and that thereupon the judge, who himself wrote the law, has arrogated to himself the power of its violation and to punish the violator without permitting the accused to enjoy a trial by jury."

The minority report declares that existing conditions leave employers of labor powerless unprecedentedly to dictate contracts and conditions of employment.

If a laborer can exercise no control over his conditions of employment, "he is subjected to involuntary servitude," the minority declares.

The "yellow dog" is condemned and the minority outlines this practice is strongly urged.

The minority makes this reference to the increasing power of injunction judges:

"It is amazing to realize that in the last 40 years there has developed in the American courts the practice of writing a special law to fit the individual case by judges in issuing labor injunctions; and that thereupon the judge, who himself wrote the law, has arrogated to himself the power of its violation and to punish the violator without permitting the accused to enjoy a trial by jury."

The minority report declares that existing conditions leave employers of labor powerless unprecedentedly to dictate contracts and conditions of employment.

If a laborer can exercise no control over his conditions of employment, "he is subjected to involuntary servitude," the minority declares.

The "yellow dog" is condemned and the minority outlines this practice is strongly urged.

The minority makes this reference to the increasing power of injunction judges:

"It is amazing to realize that in the last 40 years there has developed in the American courts the practice of writing a special law to fit the individual case by judges in issuing labor injunctions; and that thereupon the judge, who himself wrote the law, has arrogated to himself the power of its violation and to punish the violator without permitting the accused to enjoy a trial by jury."

The minority report declares that existing conditions leave employers of labor powerless unprecedentedly to dictate contracts and conditions of employment.

If a laborer can exercise no control over his conditions of employment, "he is subjected to involuntary servitude," the minority declares.